# <u>A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM OF</u> <u>COMPATIBLE MAPPING OF TYPE (A-1) IN COMPLETE</u> <u>FUZZY METRIC SPACE</u>

<u>Vandana Gupata<sup>\*</sup> Sandeep K.Tiwari<sup>\*\*</sup> Ankita Tiwari<sup>\*\*\*</sup></u>

# ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove some common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (A-1) in fuzzy metric spac .our result modify and extends the result of Jungck G.[7].

**Key words** : Compatible mappings, Compatible mappings of type(A), Compatible mappings of type(A-1), Common fixed point , Complete Fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy metric space.



<sup>\*\*</sup> Reader, School Of Studies In Mathematics, Vikram University Ujjain 456010.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research

http://www.ijmra.us

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> School Of Studies In Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain 456010.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The first important result in the theory of fixed point of compatible mapping was obtained by Gerald Jungck in 1986[6] as a generalization of commuting mapping. In 1993 Jungck and Cho [7] introduced the concept of , Compatible mappings of type (A) by generalizing the definition of weakly uniformly contraction maps. Pathak and Khan [12] introduced the concept of type A-compatible and S-compatible by splitting the definition of compatible mapping of type(A).Pathak et.al. [8] renamed A-compatible and S-compatible as compatible mappings of type(A-1) and compatible mappings of type(A-2) respectively and introduced it in fuzzy metric space.

Zadeh [16] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets. The idea of fuzzy metric space was introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [11] which was modified by George and Veernmani [2,3]. Singh B. and M.S. Chauhan [14] introduced the concept of compatibility in fuzzy metic space and proved some common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces in the sense of George and Veermani with continuous t-norm \* defined by  $a*b = min \{a, b\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0,1]$ .

The aim of the paper is to prove some common fixed point theorems of compatible mappings of type(A-1) and type(A-2). These results modify and extend the result in [8,12,15].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**DEFINITION 2.1** ([13]) A binary operation \* : [0, 1] × [0, 1]  $\rightarrow$  [0, 1] is called a continuous tnorm if, it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) \* is associative and commutative.
- (ii) \* is continuous.
- (iii) a \* 1 = a, for all  $a \in [0,1]$ .
- (iv)  $a * b \le c * d$  whenever  $a \le c$  and  $b \le d$ , for all a, b, c, d in [0, 1]

**DEFINITION 2.2 [2]** 3-tuple (X, M ,\* ) is called a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (nonempty) , \* is continuous t-norm , and M is a Fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times (0,\infty)$  satisfying the following conditions :

(i) M(x, y, t) > 0.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.



Volume 2, Issue 7



- (ii) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y.
- (iii) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t).
- (iv)  $M(x, y, t)^* M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t+s)$
- (v)  $M(x, y, .): (0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous.

For all x ,y,  $z \in X$  and s, t > 0

.Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let  $a \cdot b = \min \{a, b\}$ .Let M (x, y, t) =  $\frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$  for all x, y

 $\in \mathbf{X}$  and t > 0.

Then (X, M,\*) is a fuzzy metric M induced by d is called standard fuzzy metric space [3].

**DEFINITION 2.3** [4] A sequence  $[x_n]$  in a fuzzy metric space (X, M ,\* ) is said to be convergent to a point x in X (denoted by  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ ), if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each t > 0, there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that M ( $x_n, x, t$ ) > 1- $\varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

The completeness and non completeness of fuzzy metric space was discussed in George and Veeramani [3] and . Grabiec[5].

**DEFINITION 2.4.** [2] A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) is called Cauchy sequence if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each t >0, there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that M ( $x_n, x_m, t$ ) > 1-  $\varepsilon$  for all n,  $m \ge n_0$ .

**DEFINITION 2.5[8]** Two self mapping A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X,M,\*) are said to be compatible ,if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z$ , for some z in X.

**DEFINITION 2.6[7]**Self mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X,M,\*) are said to be compatible of type(A) if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(ASx_n,SSx_n,t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} M(SAx_n,AAx_n,t) = 1$  for all t > 0,whenever {  $x_n$ }is a sequence in X such that

 $lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n \ = \ lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ Sx_n \ = z$  ,for some  $z \in X.$ 

http://www.ijmra.us

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Cage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research

**DEFINITION 2.7[8]** Self mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M,\*) are said to be compatible of type(A-1) if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(SAx_n, AAx_n, t) = 1$  for all t > 0 whenever {  $x_n$ } is a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z$ , for some  $z \in X$ .

**LEMMA 2.8[4]** Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space. Then for all x, y in X, M(x, y, \*) is non-decreasing.

**LEMMA 2.9 [4]** Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space. If there exists  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that

M(x, y, qt) M(x, y, t/q) for positive integer n. Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$   $M(x, y, t) \ge 1$  and hence x = y.

**LEMMA 2.10.** [10] The only *t*-norm \* satisfying  $s^*s \ge s$  for all  $s \in [0,1]$ , is the minimum *t*-norm, that is,

 $a^*b = \min \{a, b\}$  for all a, b [0,1].

**PROPOSITION 2.11.** [7] Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space and let A and S be continuous mappings of X then A and S are compatible if and only if they are compatible of type (A).

**PROPOSITION 2.12.** [8] Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space and let A and S be compatible mappings of type (A-1) and Az = Sz for some  $z \in X$ , then SAz = AAz.

**PROPOSITION 2.13.** [8] Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space and let A and S be compatible mappings of type (A-1) and Az = Sz for some  $z \in X$ , then ASz = SSz.

**PROPOSITION 2.14.** [8] Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space and let A and S be compatible mappings of type (A-1) and let  $Ax_n, Sx_n \rightarrow z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $x \in X$  then  $AA x_n \rightarrow Sz$  if S is continuous at z.

#### **3. MAIN RESULTS**

We prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.1.** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let P,Q, S and T be a self mappings of X satisfying the following conditions:

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us

#### July 2014

# IJESR



- (i)  $P(X) \subset T(X), Q(X) \subset S(X),$
- (ii) S and T are continuous.
- (iii) the pairs  $\{P, S\}$  and  $\{Q, T\}$  are compatible mapping of type (A-1) on X.
- (iv) there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that for every x,  $y \in X$  and t > 0,  $M(Px, Qy, kt) \ge M(Sx, Ty, t)*M(Px, Sx, t)*M(Qy, Ty, t)*M(Px, Ty, t)$ Then P, Q, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

**PROOF**: Since  $P(X) \subset T(X)$  and  $Q(X) \subset S(X)$  for any  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that

P  $x_0 = T x_1$  and for this  $x_1 \in X$ ,  $y_{2n-1} = Tx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-2}$  and  $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$ , for all n = 0, 1, 2, ...

From (iv), M ( $y_{2n+1}$ ,  $y_{2n+2}$ , kt) = M ( $Px_{2n}$ ,  $Qx_{2n+1}$ , kt).

 $\geq$  M (Sx2n, Tx<sub>2n+1</sub>, t)\*M (Px<sub>2n</sub>, Sx<sub>2n</sub>, t)\*M (Qx<sub>2n+1</sub>, Tx<sub>2n+1</sub>, t)  $M(Px_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t)$ = M  $(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)^*$ M  $(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t)^*$ M  $(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t)$ \* M  $(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)$  $\geq \ M \ (y_{2n}, \, y_{2n+1}, \, t)^*M \ (y_{2n+1}, \, y_{2n+2}, \, t)$ From lemma 2.9 and 2.10, We have  $M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \ge M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)$ (3.1.1)Similarly, we have (3.1.2) $M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \ge M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t)$ From (3.1.1) and (3.1.2), we have  $M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \ge M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t)$ (3.1.3) $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \ge M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t/k)$  $> M(v_{n+2}, v_{n-1}, t/k^2)$  $\geq \dots \geq M(y_1, y_2, t/k^n) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$ 

International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.



So M  $(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any t > 0. For each  $\epsilon > 0$  and each t > 0, we can choose  $n_0 \in N$  such that M  $(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) > 1-\epsilon$  for all  $n > n_0$ .

For  $m, n \in N$  we suppose  $m \ge n$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}(\mathsf{y}_n^-,\mathsf{y}_m^-,\mathsf{t}^-) &\geq \mathsf{M}(\mathsf{y}_n,\mathsf{y}_{n+1},\frac{t}{m-n})^* \, \mathsf{M}(\mathsf{y}_{n+1},\mathsf{y}_{n+2},\frac{t}{m-n})^* \dots \, \mathsf{M}(\mathsf{y}_{m-1},\mathsf{y}_m,\frac{t}{m-n}) \\ &\geq (1{\text{-}}\varepsilon)^* \, (1{\text{-}}\varepsilon)^* \dots \dots (m{\text{-}}n) \, \text{times.} \\ &\geq (1{\text{-}}\varepsilon) \\ \end{split}$$

$$\\ \mathsf{And hence} \{\mathsf{y}_n\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in X.} \\ \mathsf{Since} (\mathsf{X},\mathsf{M}, *) \text{ is complete, } \{\mathsf{y}_n\} \text{ converges to some point } \mathsf{z} \in \mathsf{X}, \text{ and so} \\ \{\mathsf{Px}_{2n-2}\}, \{\mathsf{Sx}_{2n}\}, \{\mathsf{Qx}_{2n-1}\} \text{ and } \{\mathsf{Tx}_{2n-1}\} \text{ also converges to } \mathsf{z}. \\ \mathsf{From proposition 2.15 and (iii), we have} \\ \mathsf{PPx}_{2n-2} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Sz} \\ (3.1.4) & & & \mathsf{QQx}_{2n-1} \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Tz} \\ (3.1.5) & & & \mathsf{Now, from (iv), we get} \\ \mathsf{M} (\mathsf{PPx}_{2n-2}, \mathsf{QQx}_{2n-1}, \mathsf{kt}) \geq \mathsf{M} (\mathsf{SPx}_{2n-2}, \mathsf{TQx}_{2n-1}, \mathsf{t})^* \mathsf{M} (\mathsf{PPx}_{2n-2}, \mathsf{SPx}_{2n-2}, \mathsf{t})^* \, \mathsf{M} (\mathsf{QQx}_{2n-1}, \mathsf{TQx}_{2n-1}, \mathsf{t}) \\ & & *\mathsf{M} (\mathsf{PPx}_{2n-2}, \mathsf{TQx}_{2n-1}, \mathsf{t}) \end{split}$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (3.1.4) and (3.1.5) we have

 $M(Sz, Tz, kt) \ge M(Sz, Tz, t)^*M(Sz, Sz, t)^*M(Tz, Tz, t)^*M(Sz, Tz, t)$ 

 $\geq$  M (Sz, Tz, t) \* 1 \* M(Sz, Tz, t)

 $\geq$  M(Sz, Tz,t)

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us IJESR

Volume 2, Issue 7

<u>ISSN: 2347-6532</u>

Now from (iv) $M(Pz, QQ_{2n-1}, kt) \ge M(Sz, TQx_{2n-1}, t)*M(Pz, Sz, t)*M(QQx_{2n-1}, TQx_{2n-1}, t)*M(PPx_{2n-2}, TQx_{2n-1}, t)$ Again taking limit $n \to \infty$ and using (3.1.5) and (3.1.6), we have $M(Pz, Tz, kt) \ge M(Sz, Sz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)$ $\ge M(Pz, Tz, t)$ and hence $Pz$ =Tz. (3.1.7) From (iv), (3.1.6) and (3.1.7) $M(Pz, Qz, kt) \ge M(Sz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Sz, t)*M(Qz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)$ = M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t) $\ge M(Pz, Qz, t)$
$M(Pz, QQ_{2n-1}, kt) \ge M(Sz, TQx_{2n-1}, t)*M(Pz, Sz, t)*M(QQx_{2n-1}, TQx_{2n-1}, t)*M(PPx_{2n-2}, TQx_{2n-1}, t)$ Again taking limit n $\rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.1.5) and (3.1.6),we have $M(Pz, Tz, kt) \ge M(Sz, Sz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)$ $\ge M(Pz, Tz, t)$ and hence Pz =Tz. (3.1.7) From (iv), (3.1.6) and (3.1.7) $M(Pz, Qz, kt) \ge M(Sz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Sz, t)*M(Qz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)$ $= M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)$ $\ge M(Pz, Qz, t)$ hencePz=Qz. (3.1.8)
Again taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.1.5) and (3.1.6), we have $M(Pz, Tz, kt) \geq M(Sz, Sz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)$ $\geq M(Pz, Tz, t)$ and hence Pz =Tz. (3.1.7) From (iv), (3.1.6) and (3.1.7) $M(Pz, Qz, kt) \geq M(Sz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Sz, t)*M(Qz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)$ $= M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Qz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)$ $\geq M(Pz,Qz,t)$ hencePz=Qz. (3.1.8)
$M(Pz, Tz, kt) \ge M(Sz, Sz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)  \ge M(Pz, Tz, t) and hence Pz =Tz. (3.1.7)From (iv), (3.1.6) and (3.1.7)M(Pz, Qz, kt) \ge M(Sz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Sz, t)*M(Qz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)  = M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Qz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)  \ge M(Pz,Qz,t) hencePz=Qz. (3.1.8)$
$\geq M(Pz, Tz, t)$ and hence Pz =Tz. (3.1.7) From (iv), (3.1.6) and (3.1.7) $M(Pz, Qz, kt) \geq M(Sz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Sz, t)*M(Qz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)$ = M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Qz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t) $\geq M(Pz,Qz,t)$ hencePz=Qz. (3.1.8)
and hence $Pz = Tz$ . (3.1.7) From (iv), (3.1.6) and (3.1.7) $M(Pz, Qz, kt) \ge M(Sz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Sz, t)*M(Qz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)$ = M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Qz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t) $\ge M(Pz,Qz,t)$ hencePz=Qz. (3.1.8)
From (iv), (3.1.6) and (3.1.7) $M(Pz, Qz, kt) \ge M(Sz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Sz, t)*M(Qz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)$ = M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Qz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t) $\ge M(Pz,Qz,t)$ hencePz=Qz.
$M(Pz, Qz, kt) \ge M(Sz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Sz, t)*M(Qz, Tz, t)*M(Pz, Tz, t)$ $= M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Qz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)$ $\ge M(Pz,Qz,t)$ hencePz=Qz. (3.1.8)
$= M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)*M(Qz, Pz, t)*M(Pz, Pz, t)$ $\geq M(Pz,Qz,t)$ hencePz=Qz. (3.1.8)
$\geq M(Pz,Qz,t)$ hencePz=Qz. (3.1.8)
hencePz=Qz.
From(3.1.6),(3.1.7)and(3.1.8), we have $Pz=Qz=Tz=Sz$ (3.1.9)
Now, we show that $Qz = z$ .

From (iv),

 $M \; (Px_{2n}, \, Qz, \, kt) \geq \; M \; (Sx_{2n}, \, Tz, \, t)^*M \; (Px_{2n}, \, Sx_{2n}, \, t)^*M \; (Qz, \, Tz, \, t)^*M \; (Px_{2n}, \, Tz, \, t)$ 

And, taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (3.1.6) and (3.1.7), we have

 $M(z,\,Qz,\,kt\,) \geq \; M(z,\,Tz,\,t)^*M(z,\,z,\,t)^*M(Qz,\,Tz,\,t)^*M(z,\,Tz,\,t\,)$ 

$$= M (z, Qz, t) * 1 * M(Qz, Qz, t) * M(z, Qz, t)$$

 $\geq$  M (z, Bz, t).

And hence Qz = z. Thus from (3.1.9), z = Pz = Q z = Tz = Sz and z is a common fixed point of P, Q, S and T.

In order to prove the uniqueness of fixed point, let w be another common fixed point of P, Q, S and T. Then

M(z, w, kt) = M(Pz, Qw, kt)

 $\geq$  M (Sz, Tw, t)\*M (Pz, Sz, t)\*M (Qw, Tw, t)\*M (Pz, Tw, t)

 $\geq M(z, w, t).$ 

From lemma 2.10, z = w. This completes the proof of theorem .

**COROLLARY 3.2.** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let P, Q, S and T be a self mappings of X satisfying (i) - (iii) of theorem 3.1 and there exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that

 $M(Px, Qy, kt) \ge M(Sx, Ty, t)*M(Px, Sx, t)*M(Qy, Ty, t)*M(Qy, Sx, 2t)*M(Px, Ty, t)$ 

for every x,  $y \in X$  and t > 0. Then P,Q,S and T have a unique common point in X.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let P, Q, S and T be a self mappings of X satisfying (i) - (iii) of theorem 3.1 and there exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that M (Px, Qy, kt)  $\geq$  M (Sx, Ty, t) for every x, y  $\in$  X and t >0. Then P,Q,S and T have a unique common point in X.

**CORROLARY 3.4**. Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be a self mappings of X satisfying (i) - (iii) of theorem 3.1 and there exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that

 $M (Px, Qy, kt) \geq M (Sx, Ty, t)^*M (Sx, Px, t)^*M (Px, Ty, t) ,$ 

for every x,  $y \in X$  and t >0 .Then P,Q,S and T have a unique common point in X.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us

**COROLLARY 3.5.** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space. Then continuous self mappings S and T of X have a common fixed point in X if and only if there exists a self mapping P of X such that the following condition are satisfied :

- (i)  $P(X) \subset T(X) \cap S(X)$ ,
- (ii) The pair  $\{P,S\}$  and  $\{P,T\}$  are compatible mapping of type(A-1) on X.
- (iii) There exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that for every  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0

 $M\left(Px,\,Py,\,kt\right) \geq \ M\left(Sx,\,Ty,\,t\right)*M\left(Px,\,Sx,\,t\right)*M\left(Qy,\,Ty,\,t\right)*M\left(Px,\,Ty,\,t\right).$ 

In fact, P,S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof : We shown that the necessity of the conditions (i)-(iii). Suppose that S and T have a common fixed point in X, say z. Then Sz = z = Tz.

Let Px = z for all  $x \in X$ . Then we have  $P(X) \subset T(X) \cap S(X)$ , and we know that [P, S] and [P, T] are compatible mapping of type (A-1), in fact PoS = S oP and PoT = ToP, and hence the conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

For some  $k \in (0,1)$ , we get M (Px, Py, kt) =  $1 \ge M$  (Sx, Ty, t)\*M (Px, Sx, t)

\*M (Py, Ty, t)\*M (Px, Ty, t).

for every x,  $y \in X$  and t > 0 and hence the condition (iii) is satisfied.

Now, for the sufficiency of the conditions, let P = Q in theorem 3.1. Then P, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

In fact ,P,S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

**COROLLARY 3.6.** Let (X, M,\*) be a complete fuzzy metric space. Then continuous self mappings S and T of X have a common fixed point in X if and only if there exists a self mapping P of X satisfying (i) – (ii) of theorem 3.5 and there exists a self mapping of X satisfying (i)- (iii) of theorem 3.5 and there exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that for every x,  $y \in X$  and t >0

 $M(Px, Py, kt) \geq M(Sx, Ty, t)*M(Px, Sx, t)*M(Py, Ty, t)*M(Px, Sx, t)*M(Px, Ty, t).$ 

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us

**COROLLARY 3.7.** Let (X, M,\*) be a complete fuzzy metric space. Then continuous self mappings S and T of X have a common fixed point in X if and only if there exists a self mapping P of X satisfying (i) – (ii) of theorem 3.5 and there exists a self mapping of X satisfying (i)- (iii) of theorem 3.5 and there exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that for every x,  $y \in X$  and t >0

 $M\left(Px,\,Py,\,kt\right)\,\geq M\left(Sx,\,Ty,\,t\right).$ 

In fact ,P,S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

**COROLLARY 3.8.** Let (X, M,\*) be a complete fuzzy metric space. Then continuous self mappings S and T of X have a common fixed point in X if and only if there exists a self mapping P of X satisfying (i) – (ii) of theorem 3.5 and there exists a self mapping of X satisfying (i)- (iii) of theorem 3.5 and there exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that for every x,  $y \in X$  and t >0

 $M(Px, Py, kt) \ge M(Sx, Ty, t)*M(Sx, Px, t)*M(Px, Ty, t).$ 

In fact ,P,S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

## **REFERENCES**:

- 1. M. A.Erceg., Metric spaces in Fuzzy set theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 69 (1979), 205-230.
- 2. George A. and Veeramani P., On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 64 (1994), 395-399.
- 3. George A. and Veeramani P, On some results of analysis for fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 90 (1997), 365-368.
- 4. Grabiec M., Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27 (1988), 385-389.
- Gregori V. and Almanzor Sapena, On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and System, 125(2002), 245-252
- 6. Jungck G., Compatible mappings and common fixed points. Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 9 (4),

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research http://www.ijmra.us

(1986), 771-779.

7. Jungck G.,, Murthy P.P. and Cho Y.J., Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, Math. Japon. 38 (1993), 381-390.

8. Khan M.S, Pathak H.K. and George Reny, Compatible mappings of type (A-1) and type (A-2) and common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, International Math. Forum, 2(11): 515-524, 2007.

9. Kaleva O. and Seikkala, S. On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, (1984), 215-229.

10. Klement, E.P., Mesiar R and Pap E., Triangular Norms, Kluwer Academic Publishers

11. Kramosil and J. Machalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 1975), 336-344.

12. Pathak H.K and Khan M.S., A comparison of various types of compatible maps and common fixed points, Indian J. pure appl. Math., 28(4): 477-485, April 1997.

13. Schweizer B. and Sklar A., Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math., 10 (1960), 314-334.

14. Singh B. and Chauhan, M. S., Common fixed points of compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces,

Fuzzy sets and Systems, 115 (2000), 471-475.

15. Seong Hoon Cho, On common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Inter. Math. Forum, 1 (10)

(2006), 471-479. [20] Zadeh L.A., Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.

16. Zadeh L.A., Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.